

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/531,912	05/08/2006	Wei Hu	37610-523N01	3778	
23980 MINTZ, LEVI	7590 01/27/200 N, COHN, FERRIS, G	EXAMINER			
5 Palo Alto Square - 6th Floor			NGUYEN, BAO THUY L		
3000 El Camir PALO ALTO.	io Real CA 94306-2155		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			1641		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/27/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/531,912 HU. WEI

000 4 41 0	*		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen	1641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with statutory.	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	date of this communication, even if timely file	I, may reduce any	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 No	vember 2008.		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This :	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to th	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 6-47</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-40 is/are withdrawn	from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 41-47</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		-	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No	
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori			Stage
application from the International Bureau	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ed.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/Sb/08)	5) Notice of Informal I		

Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper Not(s)Mail Date 11/18/08	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5.5 I Arciac of Informat Pater I Application 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/531,912 Page 2

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/18/2008 has been entered.

Status of the Claims

- Claims 3-5 have been canceled.
- Claims 6-20 have been withdrawn.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 41-47 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 1, 2 and 41-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a device where the sample deposition system comprises a sample deposition channel in a housing, does not reasonably provide enablement for a device comprising a carrier formed of a permeable material, one ore more reagents disposed on the carrier and a sample deposition system operably associated with said carrier where said sample

Art Clift. 1041

deposition system delivers sample onto the carrier as a sample band of a first width, said sample band being essentially linear and the width being generally transverse to the path of sample flow.

The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The specification as page 5 discloses a device comprising a housing and a carrier material. The housing is provided with a sample inlet that communicates with the carrier by way of a sample deposition channel. The sample deposition channel is adapted to deposit a sample as a generally linear band having its longer axis generally transverse to the path of sample flow on the carrier. The specification also teaches a device where the device has a sample receiving port that communicates with the carrier via a sample deposition means, which comprises a conduit formed within the upper member of the housing. The conduit feeds into sample reservoir which communicate with and feeds into a sample deposition channel from which sample is ultimately deposited onto the carrier. See page 14. lines 25-30.

No where in the specification is there a disclosure of a device where the sample deposition system is not part of a housing assembly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,912

Art Unit: 1641

Claims 1, 2 and 41-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, "transferase" should be --tranverse--.

Claim 2, "A device" should be changed to -- The device -- for clarity.

Double Patenting

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). Sec., e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longt, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPO 644 (CCPA 1962).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January I, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

9. Claims 1, 2 and 41-47 rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 and 8-12 of U.S. Patent No. 7,256,053.
Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because '053 discloses a device comprising a carrier for conducting the flow of sample, the carrier comprising a sample receiving zone and an analyte detection zone. The device further

Art Unit: 1641

comprises sample deposition system in flow communication with the sample receiving system

and the carrier where the sample deposition system delivers sample to the carrier as a sample

band that is essentially linear and generally transverse to the path of sample flow.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-0824. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday -- Thursday from 9:00 a.m. - 3:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mark Shibuya can be reached on (571) 272-0806. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

,

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1641

January 21, 2009

Application/Control Number: 10/531,912

Art Unit: 1641

Page 6